

GUS-0182  
Copy 3 of 6

14 March 1959

**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Contracting Officer, Development Projects Division

**THROUGH:** Acting Chief, DPD, Chief R&D DPD and  
Mr. Eugene P. Kiefer, DPD

**SUBJECT:** Contract No. HL-4646, Approval for Convair to  
Contract for Architectural Drawings for New  
Building for Project GUSTO

**REFERENCES:** A. Memorandum for DD/P, dated 11 March 1959,  
same subject (GUS-0171)  
B. Memorandum for the Record, dated 12 March  
1959, from C/R&D/DPD, subject "GUSTO Facilities"  
(GUS-0176)  
C. Note to DD/P, from Mr. Kiefer DPD, dated  
13 March 1959, subject "New Building for Project  
GUSTO".

25X1

25X1

1. Reference A recommends immediate approval of \$67,000.00 for architectural and engineering costs in preparation for the construction of a new plant at [ ] for GUSTO. Reference B contains [ ] view that A&E work should be undertaken immediately or at least no later than 1 April if delay to the program is to be avoided. Reference C states that "Convair has been advised to proceed with building design" which I take to mean the A&E work in question but Mr. Kiefer believes we should not commit more than about \$15,000 prior to the 24 March meeting with Convair.

2. It is my view that we will be little closer to a decision as to whether GUSTO is to proceed and with what contractor until approximately 1 April. I am prepared to have the A&E work begin on a modest scale at once and to commit \$15,000 to \$18,000 for this purpose. I believe the company should be advised, however, that we desire to put some such limit on the expenditure for A&E until 1 April by which date we will advise them either to hold it up or to go forward with it.

MORI/CDF

SECRET

DOCUMENT NO. 6  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☒  
☐ RECLASSIFIED  
CLASS. BY [ ]  
REASON [ ]  
AUTHORITY [ ]  
DATE: 49 May 81 REVIEWER [ ]

25X1

~~SECRET~~

- 2 -

3. In the meanwhile, we should make use of the 24 March meeting to settle any misunderstandings with Convair as to what they will or will not do and we should use this period to determine finally whether a new building adjacent to their present plant is the best solution to the problem. I would also ask the Chief, Materiel Branch to explore this problem with the Office of Logistics, without, however, revealing the nature of the project, name of the company or exact location of the plant, to determine their views as to the best way to secure the necessary facility.

Cy 1 - Addressee

2-AC/DPD

✓ 3-C/R&D/DPD

4-Mr. Kiefer DPD

5-C/Materiel DPD

**RICHARD M. BISSELL, JR.**  
Deputy Director  
(Plans)

SECRET